



Creating a more connected Mission Viejo




Access to over 200 Acres of Community Recreation and Open Space

The Core Area Vision Plan Boundary



Celebrate Culture, Open Space, Recreation & Entertainment in the Heart of Mission Viejo



Five local projects in adjacent communities aimed at drawing tax dollars away from Mission Viejo and into neighboring communities



City of San Juan Capistrano

#### ~Fall 2023~





# City of Dana Point

### ~Fall 2028~





City of Aliso Viejo

# ALISO VIEJO TOWN CENTER REVITALIZATION ~Ongoing~




# City of Laguna Niguel

### LAGUNA NIGUEL CITY CENTER ~Ongoing~



## City of Laguna Hills

~Ongoing~



## The Need – Community Surveys

### Community Survey 2008

More than half (58%) of residents indicated that there are some neighborhood shopping areas that are clearly outdated and in need of revitalization. Overall, nearly two-thirds (65%) of residents indicated that—in general—they support

the City playing active role in improving and revitalizing older, outdated shopping areas,

whereas 31% felt the City should not be involved and 4% refused to share their opinion.

Approximately 71% of residents felt that shopping centers at the intersection of La Paz

and Marguerite near the Civic Center are also candidates for revitalization efforts.

### The Need – Community Surveys

#### Community Survey, 2016

With respect to neighborhood shopping areas in general, there has been a statistically significant decline in the appearance of these shopping areas since 2008 according to residents, and most residents (62%) agreed that there are some shopping areas in the city that are outdated and in need of revitalization.

As the perceived need to revitalize neighborhood shopping areas has increased, so too has public support for the City playing an active role in helping to improve older, outdated shopping areas. Approximately three-in-four respondents (74%) stated that they support the City playing an active role in the revitalization process for these areas, which is a statistically significant increase of 9% when compared to the 2008 survey findings.

### The Need – Community Surveys

#### Community Survey, 2016

Finally, it is clear that in addition to improved shopping and dining opportunities, Mission Viejo residents recognize the benefits a revitalized Core Area can bring with respect to attracting businesses and jobs, improving the City's tax base, and ultimately improving the quality of life in Mission Viejo. More than three-in-four respondents agreed that making improvements to properties in this area will help attract businesses and jobs to the city (78%) and revitalizing outdated shopping centers will help improve the local economy and generate more revenue for city services (76%). More than two-thirds also agreed that making improvements to properties in this area will help improve the overall quality of life in the city (71%), and a majority (58%) indicated I will do more of my shopping in Mission Viejo if the local shopping centers are improved.

#### Community Survey, 2019

Residents were most supportive of redeveloping failing shopping centers into restaurants (91%) and entertainment uses such as music and arts (82%), distantly followed by mixed-use (58%), single family housing (54%), and commercial offices (48%). One-third or fewer residents favored redeveloping failing shopping centers into condominiums (33%), hotels (31%), and apartments (22%).

#### Community Survey, 2020

The community's interest in revitalizing outdated shopping centers and improving local shopping and dining opportunities was a dominant theme in the 2019 survey. Among specific changes desired, the most common was remodeling shopping centers/improving dining and/or shopping options (8%), limiting growth/development (5%), reducing traffic congestion (4%), and improving recreation activities and events for all ages (4%).

# Community Communication Campaign



- Development of the North Paseo "Pop-Up"
- Development of the Central Core "Pop-Up" (April 2022)
- Weekly Farmers Markets (July 2022)
- Monthly Night Markets (August 2022)
- EnvisionMV.com Website (May 2022)
- Over 50 Community Events in the North Paseo
- QR Code Walking Tour April 2023
- Launch the "Come Play in your Own Backyard" community communication campaign on Envision MV – April 2023



# Community Communication Campaign

envision MV

# envision

- Home Page
- Connecting the Vision
- Civic Core
- Northern Recreation Core
- Timeline
- Events
- Resources

CONNECTING THE VISION RESOURCES TIMELINE CIVIC COR NORTHERN RECREATION CORE **EVENTS** 



The schedule is fluid and subject to change over time:

Launch the "Come Play in Your Own Backyard" visual presentation 4-19-2023 for the CORE AREA VISION PLAN

Introduce the CORE AREA VISION PLAN concept, "LOS OSOS," 4-25-2023 at City Council Meeting

Present the concept to the Planning & Transportation Commission and 5-08-2023 review the traffic study and architectural elevations and seek public input

Present the concept to the Community Services Commission with 5-16-2023 emphasis on recreational opportunities and seek public input

Present the project details, including traffic study and impacts, to 5-23-2023 City Council and seek public input

Present updated information to the Planning & Transportation 7-10-2023 Commission.

Present updated information to the Community Services Commission 8-16-2023

FALL 2023

Present the final input, cost and recommendations for the first phase of construction to City Council for approval and direction and move forward with environmental work and construction documents

### Summary of Public Input

1. Explore public-private partnership for the commercial aspects of project

2. Cost Estimate

3. Traffic

4. A bridge over Marguerite Parkway from the Civic Center to the LOS OSOS Project

5. Environmental analysis

6. CC&R's

7. Bikes and pedestrians

8. Clarification of the tax leakage for retail and food uses

## Council Direction


5

March and April 2022 - City completed the site analysis and field reconnaissance and sought input from the Commissions and City Council.

Input included:

- Close off circulation in front of the MART building so the Paseo is more pedestrian oriented.
- Allow the pedestrian zone to run through the MART building to align with the southern portion of the building.
- Allow vehicular circulation to continue to flow behind the buildings in the Urban Alley.






REINFORCED / NEW CONSTRUCTION

POTENTIAL STEEL MOMENT FRAME

**Building Reformatting** 


NOTE: AREA CALCULATIONS ARE APPROXIMATE AND DO NOT REFLECT AREA OF PROPOSED ROOF DECK & BAR.








# Los Osos A Family of Bears






# Los Osos – Site Plan

#### **Existing Site Plan**

Existing Stein Mart – 33,000 SF Existing Inline – 5,266 SF Total – 38,266 SF Existing Parking - 155


#### **Proposed Site Plan**

Proposed Los Osos – 17,470 SF Proposed Inline – 5,266 SF Total – 22,736 SF Proposed Parking - 108






Artist Rendering - Aerial








Site Plan





# Los Osos - Architecture



#### MATERIAL BOARD

- DUNN EDWARDS PAINT DE6218 ANTIQUE PAPER ON SMOOTH PLASTER, SANTA BARBARA FINISH
- DUNN EDWARDS PAINT DE6378 JET ON SMOOTH PLASTER, SANTA BARBARA FINISH
- DUNN EDWARDS PAINT DEFD29 DESERT LIME
- 4. BOARD FORMED CONCRETE
- 5. STACKED BOND PRECISION BLOCK
- 6. CULTURED STONE
- 7. GREEN ROOF SYSTEM
- 8. GREEN SCREEN SYSTEM
- 9. CORRUGATED METAL ROOF
- 10. PERFORATED METAL PANELS
- 11. STEEL/ALUMINUM STOREFRONT SYSTEM DARK BRONZE FINISH
- 12. CUSTOM STEEL GUARDRAIL WITH BARSTOCK HIGH PERFORMANCE FINISH AND FALL PROTECTION COATING
- 13. CABLE RAIL SYSTEM
- 14. ACCOYA WOOD SIDING
- 15. ACCOYA WOOD DECKING
- 16. MARINE GRADE PLYWOOD SOFFIT
- 17. FABRIC SHADE SAILS
- 4;. SPANISH INSPIRED TILE





North Paseo

North Paseo





Entertainment Plaza

Creek Side Entertainment Plaza



North Paseo

Back of Urban Alley



# Los Osos – Landscape Architecture



Conceptual Landscape Imagery



Conceptual Landscape Imagery





### Los Osos

# Imagery for Each Site Plan Area



### Los Osos – North Paseo



- Shade canopy with seating
   Entry sign
- 3 Civic kiosk
  - Thematic "creek" paving
- 5 Boulders

4

6 Connection to Urban Alley

- 7 Entertainment lawn (artificial)
- 8 Landscape and parking buffer
  - Bioretention basin

9

10

11

12

- Sculpture Family of Bears
- Sculpture Heart of the City
- Water feature

13 Outdoor kiosk

racks

14

15

16

17

- Tenant directory
- Reconfigured parking
- Drive aisle drop off & event "flex space" Bike



















### Los Osos – Entertainment Plaza













## Los Osos – Urban Alley



- Bridge to Event Barn 1
- Back porch community space 2
- Stairs/accessible ramp 3
- Bridgetender kiosk 4
- Luckey climber tower through upper plaza wood deck 5
- Elevator to below 6
- Firepits and drink ledge 7

- Urban alley trees 28' O.C. 8
  - Overhead trellis at bridge entry
- 42" high cable rail guardrail 10
- Pavers 66
- Planter area with rock accent 12
- Existing parking lot
- Bike rack 14











## Los Osos – Urban Alley Plaza



- Bridge to Event Barn
- Back porch community space 2
- Stairs/accessible ramp 3
- Bridgetender kiosk
- Luckey climber tower through upper plaza wood deck
- Elevator to below 6

5

Firepits and drink ledge

- Urban alley trees 28' O.C. 8
- Overhead trellis at bridge entry
- 42" high cable rail guardrail 10
- Pavers **F**
- Planter area with rock accent 15
  - Existing parking lot
- Bike rack









# Los Osos – Creek Side Entertainment Plaza



- Stairs
   Sculpture climber ground level
   Elevator to below
   Social seating with firepit & drink ledge 42"
   high cable rail guardrail
   Planter area with rock accent
   Climbing wall
- 8 Oso creek bike trail
  9 Seating area
  10 Greenhouse kiosk
  11 Oso Creek
  12 Dismount zone
  13 Bike trail pass-through

Hegerlin Ray

0.5

### **Creek Side Entertainment Plaza**










### Los Osos – Lower Entertainment Plaza



#### Stairs 1 Sculpture - climber ground level 2 Elevator to below 3 Social seating with firepit & drink ledge 42" 4 high cable rail guardrail 5

- Planter area with rock accent 6

Seating area 9 Greenhouse kiosk 10 m Oso Creek Dismount zone 12 Bike trail pass-through 13

8

Oso creek bike trail



### **Lower Entertainment Plaza**

0 5 10

Climbing wall 7







## Los Osos – North Paseo Bridge



#### LEGEND


- 20' Wide Bridge
- Decorative Bridge Abutment / Entry Portal 2
- Shade Structures with String Lights 3
- Seating Area 4
- Raised Corten Steel Planters 5
- 6 Guardrail and Creek Overlook














## Los Osos – Event Barn & Bridge Plaza



#### LEGEND

- 1) Bridge
- 2 Decorative bridge abutment / entry portal
- 3 Shade structures with string lights
- 4 Guardrail and creek overlook
- 5 SMWD Building
- 6 Community Event barn

- 7 Event barn plaza with seating areas
- 8 Gate to city office property
- 9 Oso creek (east bank) bike trail to La Paz
- 10 Oso creek trail (east bank) to Marguerite




#### Event Barn & Bridge Plaza







## Los Osos – Special Event Barn












# Los Osos – Marguerite Parkway Overlook

Marguerite Parkway Overlook




## Los Osos – Recreational Elements

### North Paseo Access Road/Flex Space






### North Paseo Central Gathering Area





### Community Rental Room







## Urban Alley & Plaza



## Oso Creek Trail Improvements



## Oso Creek Trail Improvements





## Los Osos – Traffic Impact Study

#### Traffic Impact Analysis





May 23 2023






- Traffic Impact Analysis
- Site Access & On-site Circulation
- Parking Analysis
- Event Barn

Project Background Los Osos - Mission Viejo



- Los Osos Located within Village Center
- Access via 8 Driveways
  - 3 Along La Paz Road (1 Signalized, 2 Unsignalized)
  - 5 Along Marguerite Parkway (3 Signalized, 2 Unsignalized)
- Project Overview: Transition approx. 33,000 SF of Retail
   Use into Recreational Space with approx. 17,470 SF of
   Supportive Restaurants (16,770 SF) and Retail (700 SF)
   and installation of 'Special Event Barn'
- <u>Study Assumed Project Buildout Year of 2025</u>





## Traffic Impact Analysis

### Study Area




| No. | Intersection   | Control      |
|-----|--|--------------|
| 1   | La Paz Road & Marguerite Parkway   | Signalized   |
| 2   | La Paz Road & Village Center (West Driveway)                             | Unsignalized |
| 3   | La Paz Road & Village Center (East Driveway)                             | Signalized   |
| 4   | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway (near Union Bank)           | Unsignalized |
| 5   | Marguerite Parkway & Civic Center/Village Center N                       | Signalized   |
| 6   | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway (near Tikka Indian Kitchen) | Unsignalized |
| 7   | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S                                    | Signalized   |
| 8   | Marguerite Parkway & Estanciero Drive/Village Center Driveway            | Signalized   |

| No. | Roadway Segment  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1   | Marguerite Parkway between Jeronimo Road and La Paz Road       |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | La Paz Road between Marguerite Parkway and Spadra Lane         |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | La Paz Road between Marguerite Parkway and Pacific Hills Drive |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | Marguerite Parkway between La Paz Road and Estanciero Drive    |  |  |  |  |


8 Study Intersections & 4 Roadway Segments

• City Guidelines: traffic analysis required at intersections where a project adds 51 or more trips during the peak hours.



### Existing Conditions

- Existing Baseline conditions based on historical (2017 and 2021) and latest (2022) traffic counts.
  - COVID-19 Impacts
  - Highest traffic volumes used in analysis.
  - AM Peak (7AM-9AM) & PM Peak (4PM-6PM)
- School Peak Traffic Volume Comparison
- Weekend Peak Traffic Volume Comparison
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Counts (2022) Oso Creek Trail




**Note:** Highest traffic counts were used in the analysis in order to be conservative.

### Level-of-Service (LOS)



- Level-of-Service or LOS: term used to qualitatively describe the operating conditions of a roadway or an intersection.
- LOS of a facility is designated with a letter (A to F)
  - Grade A representing the best operating conditions (Free Flow)
  - Grade F representing the worst operating conditions (Forced Flow)
- City of Mission Viejo designates LOS D as the minimum LOS that is acceptable.







- Existing Conditions
- Existing Plus Project Conditions
- Project Buildout Year Without Project Conditions
  - (Existing + Ambient Growth + Vacant Land Use Traffic [100% Occupancy])
- Project Buildout Year With Project Conditions

Both Peak Hour Operational Analysis (Intersections) and 24-Hour Planning-Level Analysis (Roadway Segments) conducted for all scenarios.

### Existing LOS Conditions - Intersections



|   |   | Hour   | PM Peak Hour  |   |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Study Intersection  | V/C or<br>Delay (Sec) LOS   |  | V/C or<br>Delay (Sec)   | LOS   |
| La Paz Road & Marguerite Parkway  | 0.716   | С  | 0.791   | С   |
| La Paz Road & Village Center (West Driveway)                                | 12.5 Sec  | В  | 13.8 Sec  | В   |
| La Paz Road & Village Center (East Driveway)                                | 0.345   | А  | 0.479   | А   |
| Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway<br>(near Union Bank)           | 15.0 Sec  | С  | 19.7 Sec  | С   |
| Marguerite Parkway & Civic Center/<br>Village Center N                      | 0.543   | А  | 0.752   | С   |
| Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway<br>(near Tikka Indian Kitchen) | 15.3 Sec  | С  | 18.2 Sec  | С   |
| Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S                                       | 0.520   | А  | 0.674   | В   |
| Marguerite Parkway & <u>Estanciero</u> Drive/Village<br>Center Driveway     | 0.732   | С  | 0.795   | С   |
|   | a Paz Road & Village Center (East Driveway)<br>Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway<br>near Union Bank)<br>Marguerite Parkway & Civic Center/<br>/illage Center N<br>Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway<br>near Tikka Indian Kitchen)<br>Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S<br>Marguerite Parkway & Estanciero Drive/Village | a Paz Road & Village Center (East Driveway)       0.345         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.0 Sec         Marguerite Parkway & Civic Center/       0.543         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.3 Sec         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.3 Sec         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.3 Sec         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S       0.520         Marguerite Parkway & Estanciero Drive/Village       0.732 | a Paz Road & Village Center (East Driveway)       0.345       A         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.0 Sec       C         Marguerite Parkway & Civic Center/       0.543       A         Marguerite Parkway & Civic Center/       0.543       A         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.3 Sec       C         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway       15.3 Sec       C         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S       0.520       A         Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S       0.520       A | a Paz Road & Village Center (East Driveway)0.345A0.479Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway<br>near Union Bank)15.0 SecC19.7 SecMarguerite Parkway & Civic Center/<br>/illage Center N0.543A0.752Marguerite Parkway & Village Center Driveway<br>near Tikka Indian Kitchen)15.3 SecC18.2 SecMarguerite Parkway & Village Center S0.520A0.674 |

V/C = Volume-to-Capacity Ratio

LOS = Level-of-Service

#### All study intersections operate at acceptable LOS under Existing conditions.

### Existing LOS Conditions – Roadway Segments



| No. | Roadway Segment   | Lanes | Type of<br>Arterial | LOS E<br>Capacity<br>(VPD) | Daily<br>Volume<br>(2-Way) | V/C<br>Ratio | LOS |
|-----|---|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----|
| 1   | Marguerite Parkway between<br>Jeronimo Road and La Paz Road           | 4D    | Primary             | 37,500                     | 33,242                     | 0.886        | D   |
| 2   | La Paz Road between Marguerite<br>Parkway and <u>Spadra</u> Lane      | 4D    | Primary             | 37,500                     | 22,133                     | 0.590        | А   |
| 3   | La Paz Road between Marguerite<br>Parkway and Pacific Hills Drive     | 4U    | Secondary           | 25,000                     | 16,958                     | 0.678        | В   |
| 4   | Marguerite Parkway between La Paz<br>Road and <u>Estanciero</u> Drive | 4D    | Primary             | 37,500                     | 32,730                     | 0.873        | D   |

All study roadway segments operate at acceptable LOS under Existing conditions.



### How many trips generated are from the proposed project?

- Trip generation calculated based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) –*Trip Generation Manual*
- Trip generation rates vary on land use type, project size, and time-of-day
- Pass-by Trips Reduction Factor accounts for interim stops to the project site during an existing or previously planned trip

| Daily            | AM Peak Hour (1-Hour Period) |    |     | PM Peak Hour (1-Hour Period) |    |     |  |
|------------------|------------------------------|----|-----|------------------------------|----|-----|--|
| 2-Way<br>Traffic | Total                        | In | Out | Total                        | In | Out |  |
| 1,377            | 124                          | 69 | 55  | 89                           | 53 | 36  |  |


#### **Project Trip Generation**

### Proposed Project – Trip Distribution



### Where does this new traffic go?

- Trip distribution is the process of assigning the directions from which traffic will access the project site
- Based on land use characteristics of project or other local land uses & the local roadway network.



#### Existing Conditions Traffic Impact Summary - Intersections

|          | Study Intersection                    |    | Existing    |     | Existing Plus<br>Project |     | Change  | Significant |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----|-------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|---------|-------------|
|          |                                       |    | V/C or      | LOS | V/C or                   | LOS | in V/C  | Impact      |
|          |                                       |    | Delay (Sec) |     | Delay (Sec)              |     |         |             |
| 1        | La Paz Road & Marguerite Parkway      | AM | 0.716       | С   | 0.726                    | C   | 0.010   | No          |
|          |                                       | PM | 0.791       | С   | 0.799                    | С   | 0.008   | No          |
| 2        | La Paz Road & Village Center (West    | AM | 12.5 Sec    | В   | 12.6 Sec                 | В   | 0.1 Sec | No          |
| 2        | Driveway)                             | PM | 13.8 Sec    | В   | 14.1 Sec                 | В   | 0.3 Sec | No          |
| 3        | La Paz Road & Village Center (East    | AM | 0.345       | А   | 0.350                    | А   | 0.005   | No          |
| 5        | Driveway)                             | PM | 0.479       | А   | 0.494                    | А   | 0.015   | No          |
| 4        | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center   | AM | 15.0 Sec    | С   | 15.4 Sec                 | С   | 0.4 Sec | No          |
| 4        | Driveway (near Union Bank)            | PM | 19.7 Sec    | С   | 20.4 Sec                 | С   | 0.7 Sec | No          |
| 5        | Marguerite Parkway & Civic            | AM | 0.543       | А   | 0.554                    | А   | 0.011   | No          |
|          | Center/Village Center N               | PM | 0.752       | С   | 0.792                    | С   | 0.040   | No          |
| 6        | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center   | AM | 15.3 Sec    | С   | 15.4 Sec                 | С   | 0.1 Sec | No          |
| 0        | Driveway (near Tikka Indian Kitchen)  | PM | 18.2 Sec    | С   | 18.4 Sec                 | С   | 0.2 Sec | No          |
| 7        | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S | AM | 0.520       | А   | 0.525                    | А   | 0.005   | No          |
| <u> </u> |                                       |    | 0.674       | В   | 0.679                    | В   | 0.005   | No          |
| 8        | Marguerite Parkway & Estanciero       | AM | 0.732       | С   | 0.737                    | С   | 0.005   | No          |
| 0        | Drive/Village Center Driveway         | PM | 0.795       | С   | 0.799                    | С   | 0.004   | No          |

Proposed project **would not** exceed traffic impact thresholds at any study intersections under

Existing With-Project conditions

#### Existing Conditions Traffic Impact Summary – Roadway Segments

|     |  |         |                     | LOS E             |                            | Existing  |     |                            | Existing With | n Project |                 |                         |
|-----|--|---------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| No. | Roadway Segment  | Lanes 1 | Type of<br>Arterial | Capacity<br>(VPD) | Daily<br>Volume<br>(2-Way) | V/C Ratio | LOS | Daily<br>Volume<br>(2-Way) | V/C Ratio     | LOS       | V/C<br>Increase | Significant<br>(Yes/No) |
| 1   | Marguerite Parkway<br>between Jeronimo<br>Road and La Paz Road       | 4D      | Primary             | 37,500            | 33,242                     | 0.886     | D   | 33,724                     | 0.899         | D         | 0.013           | No                      |
| 2   | La Paz Road between<br>Marguerite Parkway<br>and Spadra Lane         | 4D      | Primary             | 37,500            | 22,133                     | 0.590     | A   | 22,408                     | 0.598         | A         | 0.007           | No                      |
| 3   | La Paz Road between<br>Marguerite Parkway<br>and Pacific Hills Drive | 4U      | Secondary           | 25,000            | 16,958                     | 0.678     | В   | 17,165                     | 0.687         | В         | 0.008           | No                      |
| 4   | Marguerite Parkway<br>between La Paz Road<br>and Estanciero Drive    | 4D      | Primary             | 37,500            | 32,730                     | 0.873     | D   | 33,143                     | 0.884         | D         | 0.011           | No                      |

Proposed project would not exceed traffic impact thresholds at any study roadway segments under

Existing With-Project conditions

#### Project Buildout Year Traffic Impact Summary - Intersections

|          |                                       | Peak | Opening Year<br>Without Project |     |                       | ar Witl | h Project                | Significant |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------|---------------------------------|-----|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------|
|          | Study Intersection                    |      | V/C or<br>Delay (Sec)           | LOS | V/C or<br>Delay (Sec) | LOS     | V/C<br>Increase<br>(Sec) | Impact      |
| 1        | La Paz Road & Marguerite Parkway      | AM   | 0.750                           | С   | 0.760                 | С       | 0.010                    | No          |
| Ľ.       |                                       | PM   | 0.831                           | D   | 0.839                 | D       | 0.008                    | No          |
| 2        | La Paz Road & Village Center (West    | AM   | 12.7                            | В   | 12.8                  | В       | 0.1                      | No          |
|          | Driveway)                             | PM   | 14.3                            | В   | 14.5                  | В       | 0.2                      | No          |
| 3        | La Paz Road & Village Center (East    | AM   | 0.355                           | Α   | 0.359                 | Α       | 0.004                    | No          |
|          | Driveway)                             | PM   | 0.506                           | Α   | 0.521                 | Α       | 0.015                    | No          |
| 4        | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center   | AM   | 15.7                            | С   | 16.1                  | С       | 0.4                      | No          |
| 4        | Driveway (near Union Bank)            | PM   | 21.2                            | С   | 22.0                  | С       | 0.8                      | No          |
| 5        | Marguerite Parkway & Civic            | AM   | 0.573                           | Α   | 0.605                 | В       | 0.032                    | No          |
| 2        | Center/Village Center N               | PM   | 0.840                           | D   | 0.879                 | D       | 0.039                    | No          |
| 6        | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center   | AM   | 16.5                            | С   | 16.7                  | С       | 0.2                      | No          |
| 0        | Driveway (near Tikka Indian Kitchen)  | PM   | 21.7                            | С   | 22.0                  | С       | 0.3                      | No          |
| 7        | Marguerite Parkway & Village Center S | AM   | 0.542                           | Α   | 0.547                 | А       | 0.005                    | No          |
| <b>'</b> | Wargachte Farkway & Village Center 5  | PM   | 0.709                           | С   | 0.714                 | С       | 0.005                    | No          |
| 0        | Marguerite Parkway & Estanciero       | AM   | 0.758                           | С   | 0.763                 | С       | 0.005                    | No          |
| 8        | Drive/Village Center Driveway         | PM   | 0.824                           | D   | 0.827                 | D       | 0.003                    | No          |

Proposed project **would not** exceed traffic impact thresholds at any study intersections under

Project Buildout Year With-Project conditions

#### Project Buildout Year Traffic Impact Summary – Roadway Segments

|     |   |       |                     | LOS E             | Opening Year Without Project |              |     | Opening Year With Project  |              |     |                 |                         |
|-----|---|-------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-----|----------------------------|--------------|-----|-----------------|-------------------------|
| No. | Roadway Segment   | Lanes | Type of<br>Arterial | Capacity<br>(VPD) | Daily<br>Volume<br>(2-Way)   | V/C<br>Ratio | LOS | Daily<br>Volume<br>(2-Way) | V/C<br>Ratio | LOS | V/C<br>Increase | Significant<br>(Yes/No) |
| 1   | Marguerite Parkway between<br>Jeronimo Road and La Paz Road       | 4D    | Primary             | 37,500            | 34,667                       | 0.924        | E   | 35,149                     | 0.937        | E   | 0.013           | Yes                     |
| 2   | La Paz Road between Marguerite<br>Parkway and Spadra Lane         | 4D    | Primary             | 37,500            | 23,041                       | 0.614        | C   | 23,317                     | 0.622        | С   | 0.007           | No                      |
| 3   | La Paz Road between Marguerite<br>Parkway and Pacific Hills Drive | 4U    | Secondary           | 25,000            | 17,650                       | 0.706        | С   | 17,857                     | 0.714        | С   | 0.008           | No                      |
| 4   | Marguerite Parkway between La<br>Paz Road and Estanciero Drive    | 4D    | Primary             | 37,500            | 34,079                       | 0.909        | E   | 34,492                     | 0.920        | E   | 0.011           | Yes                     |

Proposed project **would** exceed traffic impact thresholds at study roadway segments #1 & #4 under Project Buildout Year With-Project conditions. (V/C Increase > 1%, 1.3% and 1.1% respectively)

#### Segments #1 & #4 operating at LOS E without project

#### Improvement Strategies



- Increase throughput along Marguerite Parkway
  - OCTA Approved Marguerite Parkway Traffic Signal
     Synchronization Project (TSSP), scheduled for 2024 2026
- Continue to develop Traffic Demand Management (TDM) strategies, such as expanding MV Shuttle (add stop to Village Center & weekend routes) and implement the City of Mission Viejo Comprehensive Bikeway Master Plan.
- Proposed project provides direct access to Oso Creek
   Trail, encouraging alternative modes of travel, which can assist in reducing number of vehicle trips.






## Site Access & On-site Circulation

## Internal Intersection LOS Analysis



- Level-of-service analysis conducted for internal "intersections" for Existing and Proposed conditions
- Installation of North Paseo and drive aisle closure will require rerouting traffic.
- Supplemental analysis not typically required by City



#### Internal Intersection LOS Analysis Summary

| Internal     | Peak Hour   | Exist       | ing | Opening Year (2025)<br>With-Project |     |  |  |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|--|--|
| Intersection | r cuk riour | Delay (Sec) | LOS | Delay (Sec)                         | LOS |  |  |
| 1            | AM          | 6.9         | А   | 7.0                                 | А   |  |  |
| 1            | PM          | 7.3         | А   | 7.6                                 | А   |  |  |
| 2            | AM          | 1.6         | А   | 0.7                                 | А   |  |  |
| 2            | PM          | 2.4         | А   | 2.0                                 | А   |  |  |
| 3            | AM          | 7.3         | А   | 7.9                                 | А   |  |  |
| 5            | PM          | 8.1         | А   | 10.0                                | А   |  |  |
| 4            | AM          | 7.0         | А   | -                                   | -   |  |  |
| 4            | PM          | 7.3         | А   | -                                   | -   |  |  |
| 5            | AM          | 7.1         | А   | 7.3                                 | А   |  |  |
| 2            | PM          | 7.6         | А   | 8.0                                 | А   |  |  |
| 6            | AM          | 6.4         | А   | 3.3                                 | А   |  |  |
| 0            | PM          | 6.6         | А   | 5.1                                 | А   |  |  |
| 7            | AM          | 6.5         | А   | 6.7                                 | А   |  |  |
| /            | PM          | 6.4         | А   | 6.6                                 | А   |  |  |
| 8            | AM          | 2.5         | А   | 2.5                                 | А   |  |  |
| 0            | PM          | 4.4         | А   | 4.4                                 | А   |  |  |
| 9            | AM          | 7.4         | А   | 7.4                                 | А   |  |  |
| 5            | PM          | 8.5         | А   | 8.7                                 | А   |  |  |
| 10           | AM          | 7.3         | А   | 7.3                                 | А   |  |  |
| 10           | PM          | 7.7         | А   | 7.6                                 | А   |  |  |

Proposed project **would not** exceed traffic impact thresholds at any internal study intersections

under Project Buildout Year With-Project conditions

### Truck Turning Analysis

- Objective: Determine if adequate clearance will be provided for large trucks servicing center.
- Completed for all truck types currently served within the Village Center (WB-40, SU-40, & CA Legal)




Proposed project **would not** impede truck delivery access to existing tenants.


### Urban Alley – Service Road Improvement Area




- Urban Alley would meet all
   City 2-way drive aisle
   standards (24' min).
- <u>All trucks would continue</u> <u>to travel through this</u> <u>segment without impacts</u>



#### Truck Turning Analysis – Former Michael's



#### Truck Turning Analysis – Trader Joe's



#### Service access, outside of Urban Alley limits, remains unchanged.

#### Truck Turning Analysis – Conflicts with Existing Conditions


APPENDIX N.10


 Single Point U-Turn: CA Legal Truck (65FT) conflicts with

#### existing conditions


structures or parking stalls.

• Conflicts are not a result of any project improvements.






CALTRANS 2020 CA LEGAL (65 FT) -NOT ENOUGH ROOM TO TURN AROUND -CONFLICTS WITH SURROUNDING OBJECTS (STRUCTURES, PARKING, ETC)



MISSION VIEJO - VILLAGE CENTER TRUCK TURNING ANALYSIS



CONFLICT WITH STRUCTURES AND PARKING STALLS



# Parking Analysis

#### Parking Analysis – 2 Methods of Analysis

- <u>City Code Parking Requirements</u>
  - Evaluate parking for the on-site parcels and the entire commercial center per the City Code as outlined in Municipal Code Off-Street Parking Standards
- <u>Shared Parking Analysis</u>
  - Per City guidelines, parking requirements may be reduced given adequate documentation and parking facilities are shared amongst multiple uses
  - Approved Shared Parking Studies at Similar Shopping Centers: Olympiad Plaza (Deficient 41 Stalls), Trabuco Hills Center (Deficient 127 Stalls), Puerta Real Plaza (Deficient 89 Stalls), Kaleidoscope (Deficient 872 Stalls)

#### Existing vs. Proposed Parking Conditions

- Existing Conditions
  - City-Owned Parcel Parking Supply of 155 spaces
  - Village Center (Complete) Parking Supply of 1,147 spaces

#### Proposed Conditions

- Proposed project results in loss of 47 spaces within City-owned parcel
- City-Owned Parcel Proposed Parking Supply of 108 spaces
- Village Center (Complete) Proposed Parking Supply of 1,100 Spaces



# Parking Analysis – City-Code

#### City-Code Requirements (Existing)

| Parcel<br>Address     | Tenants                         | Parking Supply       | Parking Req. Per<br>Code | Surplus            |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 27092                 | Carl's Jr                       | 16                   | 15                       | +1                 |
| 27142                 | Big Lots                        | 146                  | 94                       | +52                |
| 27152                 | Just 4 Paw/Dentist/ Etc.        | 8                    | 29                       | (-21)              |
| 25272                 | CVS                             | 149                  | 101                      | +48                |
| <mark>25880/82</mark> | Steinmart/Jersey Mikes/Etc.     | <mark>155</mark>     | <mark>192</mark>         | <mark>(-37)</mark> |
| 25290                 | Round Table/Skimmers/Etc.       | 48                   | 52                       | (-4)               |
| 25310                 | Former Michael's                | Former Michael's 144 |                          | (-2)               |
| 25402/25390           | Bowling Alley/Moore's<br>Sewing | 138                  | 198                      | (-60)              |
| 25410                 | Party City/Trader Joe's         | 61                   | 116                      | (-55)              |
| 25502                 | Pet's Plus                      | 29                   | 24                       | +5                 |
| 25522                 | Eat Thai/Urgent Care/Etc.       | 63                   | 80                       | (-17)              |
| 25542                 | Del Taco                        | 22                   | 9                        | +13                |
| 25380                 | The Patio                       | 132                  | 124                      | +8                 |
| 25276                 | Panda Express/Union Bank        | 36                   | 42                       | (-6)               |
| ΤΟΤΑ                  | AL VILLAGE CENTER               | 1,147                | 1,222                    | (-75)              |

**8 OUT OF 14 PARCELS ARE UNDER PARKED PER CITY CODE REQUIREMENTS** 

## City-Code Requirements (Proposed Project)



- Project would result in loss of 47 spaces.
- Proposed project
   requires 53 spaces
   less than existing
   retail use.

| Parcel<br>Address     | Tenants                      | Parking Supply   | Parking Req. Per<br>Code | Surplus            |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 27092                 | Carl's Jr                    | 16               | 15                       | +1                 |
| 27142                 | Big Lots                     | 146              | 94                       | +52                |
| 27152                 | Just 4 Paw/ Dentist/ Etc.    | 8                | 29                       | (-21)              |
| 25272                 | CVS                          | 149              | 101                      | +48                |
| <mark>25880/82</mark> | Los Osos/Jersey Mikes/Etc.   | <mark>108</mark> | <mark>139</mark>         | <mark>(-31)</mark> |
| 25290                 | Round Table/Skimmers/Etc.    | 48               | 52                       | (-4)               |
| 25310                 | Former Michael's             | 144              | 146                      | (-2)               |
| 25402/25390           | Bowling Alley/Moore's Sewing | 138              | 198                      | (-60)              |
| 25410                 | Party City/Trader Joe's      | 61               | 116                      | (-55)              |
| 25502                 | Pet's Plus                   | 29               | 24                       | +5                 |
| 25522                 | Eat Thai/Urgent Care/Etc.    | 63               | 80                       | (-17)              |
| 25542                 | Del Taco                     | 22               | 9                        | +13                |
| 25380                 | The Patio                    | 132              | 124                      | +8                 |
| 25276                 | Panda Express/Union Bank     | 36               | 42                       | (-6)               |
| τοτΑ                  | AL VILLAGE CENTER            | 1,100            | 1,169                    | (-69)              |

Overall, the project results in a net gain of 6 spaces for the entire Village Center.



# Shared Parking Analysis

**Observed Peak Demand +** 

**Proposed Peak Project Demand +** 

Vacancies Peak Parking Demand (100% Occupancy)

Shared Parking is the only method to justify a City Code parking reduction for both Existing and Proposed conditions.


#### Observed Peak Parking Demand – Most # of Vehicles Parked

- Parking Counts conducted during December 2021 with supplemental counts taken December 2022 for the Village Center
- Hourly counts between 8AM and 10PM for typical Thursday, Friday, & Saturday
- Village Center divided into 8 Zones

| Day      | Parking<br>Supply | Peak<br>Parking<br>Demand | Percent<br>Utilization | Time of<br>Day |
|----------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Thursday | 1,147             | 498                       | 43.4%                  | 1PM            |
| Friday   | 1,147             | 551                       | 48.8%                  | 12PM           |
| Saturday | 1,147             | 517                       | 45.1%                  | 1PM            |



## Observed Peak Parking (Thurs, Fri, Sat)



#### Project and Vacancies Parking Demand (100% Occupancy Scenario)

• ULI *Shared Parking Model* utilized to forecast parking demand of proposed project and any on-site vacancies.

| Day      | Time of<br>Day | Proposed<br>Parking<br>Supply | Observed<br>Peak<br>Parking<br>Demand | Forecast<br>Project<br>Demand<br>(Project) | Forecast<br>Project<br>Demand<br>(Vacancies) | Total<br>Parking<br>Demand | W/ 10%<br>Contingency<br>Factor | %<br>Utilization | Surplus<br>Stalls |
|----------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Thursday | 1PM            | 1,100                         | 498                                   | 112  | 259  | 869                        | 919                             | 84%              | +181              |
| Friday   | 12PM           | 1,100                         | 551                                   | 112  | 271  | 934                        | 989                             | 90%              | +111              |
| Saturday | 1PM            | 1,100                         | 517                                   | 112  | 271  | 900                        | 952                             | 87%              | +148              |


Given these results, there is adequate parking on-site to accommodate the future conditions.

#### Parking Management Plan

- Proactive approach to limit parking impacts
  - Bicycle Parking (Approx. 60 bicycle parking spaces throughout project)
  - Off-site Parking Facilities (Civic Center, World
     Cup Soccer Field Lot, Norman P. Murray Center)
  - $\circ$  Shuttle Service to Off-site Locations

(Successfully implemented during City-sponsored events)

• Valet Operation





## Special Event Barn

### Special Event Barn



Amenity space provided for small community gatherings w/ approx. 43 on-site parking spaces

#### Event Barn

- **Traffic:** Dependent on type and scale of event hosted. Trip generation is not consistent.
- Special Event Permit: City will review events on a case-by-case basis and require documentation as needed (Type of Event, # of Guests, Traffic Control, Parking Management Plan)
- Similar Applications: MV Nadadores, Saddleback
   Community College Sporting Events, Various
   Church Sponsored Events, Lake Mission Viejo
   Concerts



# Thank you



EnvisionMV.com