# Vision Core Area Community Communication Campaign

Vision Core Meeting Planning & Transportation Commission Meeting May 8, 2023

# **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

- 1. Kathy Palmer
  - a. MV resident since 1989; lives on La Paz / Los Caballos
  - b. #1 concern No cost estimate, worried about budget
  - c. Would like public/private joint effort option and cost analysis
  - d. Would rather spend funds on current Bike safety measures on streets
  - e. Wants a bridge over Marguerite from Civic Center to North Paseo
  - f. Curious whether Urban Alley will extend beyond City property line
  - g. Would like to see Work Force housing utilized in this space
- 2. Wendy Lee
  - a. MV resident for 31 years
  - b. Concerned about parking and traffic
  - c. Does not like the name "Urban" Alley. MV is not urban
- 3. Ed Maur
  - a. MV resident since 1974
  - b. Thinks the project looks fabulous
  - c. #1 concern Global warming.
  - d. Would like scientific research performed and climate action plan
  - e. Concerned about costs on surrounding areas (tenants)
  - f. Would like transportation options, i.e. EV transport
- 4. Mindy Geller
  - a. Property owner (The Patio)
  - b. City in violation of CC&R's while other owners follow
  - c. Concerned traffic data lacked when Steinmart and Michaels were operational
  - d. Needs semitrucks the ability to travel behind buildings
  - e. Would like Environmental study done

- f. Concerned parking will not work when there are events
- g. Reducing parking in violation of CC&R's
- 5. Cathy Schlick
  - a. Commission is authorized by State statute
  - b. City cannot violate CC&R's
  - c. Concerned about budget, would like to see staff report
  - d. Are all property owners in compliance?
  - e. Wants to know the balance of remaining bonds
  - f. Does the City expect a return on investment?
  - g. Can the City have two Vision plans at once? (OCGC)

#### 6. Bryan Zahn

- a. MV resident since 1968
- b. Spoke about the 'MV promise' To lead, not to follow
- c. MV Company missed out on creating a downtown area
- d. Have spoken to many members of the community who want the plan
- e. Seeing this plan through is an obligation to the next generation
- f. Young families are looking forward to this project
- g. Village Area is still the same after decades
- h. The Trail and Creek are the gem of the City and should be highlighted
- 7. June Anderson
  - a. Casa Del Sol (CDS) resident (on board)
  - b. Concerned how CDS will be affected
  - c. Concerned how this will affect traffic for seniors
  - d. Concerned about 4-6 year plan for OCGC and how it will affect CDS
  - e. Would like City to work with CDS Board on plan
- 8. Connie Landisara
  - a. Concerned about E-bikes; should not be allowed in Vision area
  - b. Has City discussed with Sheriffs regarding E-bikes in Vision area?
  - c. Is there adequate seating? Will people have to bring their own chairs?
  - d. Concerned about the maintenance increase

#### 9. Stacy Holmes

- a. MV resident
- b. Wants to know costs, is skeptical
- c. Wants to see worksheet on outflow and inflow of funds for Vision
- d. Concerned about the maintenance
- e. Is this project a want versus a need?

#### 10. Eric Cetnich

- a. Trader Joes/Party City building owner
- b. Beautiful concept
- c. Not allowed by CC&R's
- d. Will affects CAM charges and parking
- e. City is behind on CAM payments
- f. Concerned about traffic, will have his traffic engineer go over
- g. Concerned about new rows of parking behind CVS, not City property
- h. Urban Alley takes away truck access
- i. Concerned about global warming and environmental issues

#### 11. Tom Moore

- a. MV resident
- b. Owners seem excluded from what he has heard
- c. Would like current owners to bring their property up to City standards
- d. Thankful for Keith to show how a City standard property should look like
- e. Concerns about traffic
- f. Local residents affected? Would like study regarding
- g. Great opportunity for City to move forward
- h. Brought up Via Angelina bridge to Rec center

#### 12. Jane Kronik

- a. Belmont Shore resident; Michaels building owner
- b. Requests City adhere to CC&R's
- c. The CC&R's grant protections
- 13. Larry Gilbert
  - a. Explained why there are 15 parcels in Village

- b. Crown Valley was supposed to be City core area
- c. The Core Vision plan workshop was deceptive; City does not have right to proceed with plan
- d. Would like City to have a private partner
- e. Complained that there were no vehicles in the graphics

#### 14. Michael Schlezinger

- a. MV resident
- b. Concerned about traffic light wait times
- c. Would like transparency on costs
- d. Where will funds come from? What will revenues look like?
- e. Afraid property owners will move out
- f. Does not find beauty in the creek
- g. Concerned about trail head parking
- h. Concerned of impact that addition of "Monster" and Michael's building new tenant will bring

#### 15. Gideon Victor

- a. Requests to emphasize walkable and rideable areas
- b. Create space to engage neighbors
- c. Requests to create golf cart riding capabilities
- d. Would like more attention to bike riding on public streets
- e. Gave Commission tax rates of profitable parcels throughout City

#### 16. Zach Heuting

- a. 15-year resident
- b. Living in Mission Viejo is living the dream
- c. Seen other Cities grow old, doesn't want the same for MV
- d. Mission Viejo is a shining star of Southern California
- e. Wants MV to be forward thinking
- f. See's many people walking trails everyday
- g. People living happy lives are out living, not in Chambers

## **Commissioners**

17. Joe Blum

- a. The traffic studies forecast the worst-case scenarios
- b. The costs for project will be worked on when details have been finalized

## 18. Robert Breton

- a. All information is online
- b. There is plenty of time for people to look at information and voice opinion
- c. (Mario) KOA is private firm, conducted independent study
- d. (Mario) Worst case scenario is based on ambient growth rate
- e. (Rich) Michaels occupation is figured in build out year
- f. (Mario) 63' semitruck cannot currently make a U-turn behind buildings
- g. (Rich) The design does not go beyond City property
- h. Should review revenue leakage from other cities; losing revenue (HDL)
- i. Commissioners will be independent of outside voices or those who elected them
- j. MV is overdue for an overhaul, agrees with Tom Moore
- k. The area currently is a lost opportunity
- 1. Would like to see more water put into the creek
- m. Likes idea of encouraging golf carts like Del Mar streets, and bikes
- n. Would like a bridge built over Marguerite from Civic Center to North Paseo
- o. Concerned about E-bikes
- p. Concerned about seating accommodations
- q. Traffic study shows per code a decrease in parking deficit before and after project
- 19. LaVal Brewer
  - a. Urban Alley, will it improve inflow and outflow of road? Not on other properties
  - b. How will be get people to dismount bikes?
    - i. Environmental design choke points
    - ii. Sheriff task force
  - c. Newhart dismissal is from 2-3PM, not in peak hour study.
- 20. Gary Disney
  - a. Agrees with bridge over Marguerite
  - b. Concerns of event traffic will cause backups

- c. Would like to see updated traffic sequencing on Marguerite and La Paz
  - i. (Mario) Working on grant funding to make happen
- d. "Monster" needs to be incorporated into study
- e. Patterns of trucks behind buildings will not change? No
- f. Likes idea of golf cart use
- g. Concerned about E-bikes; choke points create a challenge for kids